

Unregulated fentanyl supply trends: Toronto, Ontario

October 18 – 31, 2025

We are monitoring an emerging trend in Toronto's unregulated opioid supply. Between October 25 – 28, “new” opioid **cychlorphine** was found in three fake pharmaceutical opioid samples collected in Toronto's downtown and west end. One of these samples was expected to be hydromorphone (Dilaudid), another oxycodone (OxyContin), another Percocet. None of these samples contained their expected drug – cychlorphine was the only drug found. We first found cychlorphine, also known as N-Propionitrile chlorphine, on September 12, 2025, in an expected Percocet sample. Cychlorphine is a synthetic “orphine” opioid with unknown strength and effects. While other related orphine opioids are considered to be roughly as strong as fentanyl, the potency of cychlorphine, specifically, is not known. Depending on the strength of cychlorphine and how much of it is used, the risk of overdose may be increased. Naloxone should reverse the effects of cychlorphine and other orphine opioids in an overdose situation. We will keep the community informed as we learn more about cychlorphine and this emerging trend.

Between **October 18 – 31, 2025**, 103 samples¹ were collected from people who use drugs by the collection site members of **Toronto's Drug Checking Service**, the flagship program of Ontario's Drug Checking Community. Samples were analyzed by analysis site members of the program using **gold standard technologies that are validated for overdose prevention drug checking**. Of these 103 samples¹, 33 were expected² to be fentanyl (91% were drug samples³ and 9% were used drug equipment).

Key findings⁴

- 9% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ were known to be **associated with an overdose** – all of these samples contained at least one high-potency opioid⁵ (an opioid considered to be roughly as strong as or stronger than fentanyl) in combination with a veterinary tranquilizer
- 64% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **contained multiple high-potency opioids⁵**, including **fentanyl**, **fluorofentanyl**, a **methylfentanyl-related drug**, and/or **metonitazene**. Using high-potency opioids⁵ in combination increases the risk of overdose and greater than normal doses of naloxone may be required to reverse an overdose.
- 79% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **contained fluorofentanyl** (at this time, para-fluorofentanyl is circulating, which is considered to be roughly as strong as fentanyl)

- 18% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **contained a methylfentanyl-related drug** (at this time, ortho-methylfentanyl is circulating, which is considered to be roughly as strong as fentanyl)
- 3% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **contained a nitazene opioid**, including metonitazene (considered to be 4 times stronger than fentanyl)
- 33% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **did not contain fentanyl** – these samples instead contained fluorofentanyl, a methylfentanyl-related drug, and/or metonitazene
- 94% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **contained at least one other central nervous and/or respiratory system depressant**, including veterinary tranquilizers and/or benzodiazepine-related drugs. Using high-potency opioids⁵ in combination with other central nervous and/or respiratory system depressants increases the risk of dangerous suppression of vitals (e.g., slowing down of breathing, blood pressure, heart rate) – as well as complicates overdose response.
 - 94% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **contained a veterinary tranquilizer** – 76% contained medetomidine and 33% contained xylazine
 - 18% of the expected² fentanyl samples¹ **contained a benzodiazepine-related drug**, including bromazolam and/or flualprazolam
- Amount of drugs found in expected² fentanyl drug samples³:

In 15 expected² fentanyl drug samples³:

0.7% was the average amount⁶ of fentanyl found	0.5 – 1.2% was the range⁷ of fentanyl found in half of the drug samples ³
---	---

In 19 expected² fentanyl drug samples³:

1.3% was the average amount⁶ of fluorofentanyl found	0.5 – 9.9% was the range⁷ of fluorofentanyl found in half of the drug samples ³
---	---

In 3 expected² fentanyl drug samples³:

4.5% was the average amount⁶ of methylfentanyl-related drugs found	2.3 – 9.3% was the range⁷ of methylfentanyl-related drugs found in half of the drug samples ³
---	---

In 20 expected² fentanyl drug samples³:

0.5% was the average amount⁶ of medetomidine found	0.2 – 1.1% was the range⁷ of medetomidine found in half of the drug samples ³
---	---

In 4 expected² fentanyl drug samples³:

0.2% was the average amount⁶ of xylazine found	0.1 – 0.5% was the range⁷ of xylazine found in half of the drug samples ³
---	---

In 3 expected² fentanyl drug samples³:

1.6% was the **average amount⁶ of bromazolam found**

1.4 – 2.2% was the **range⁷ of bromazolam found** in half of the drug samples³

Expected fentanyl drug samples

- 67% (20) of the expected² fentanyl drug samples³ **contained fentanyl and other drugs**, including:
 - 100% (20) contained caffeine
 - 95% (19) contained a veterinary tranquilizer:
 - 80% (16) contained medetomidine (!)
 - 35% (7) contained xylazine (!)
 - 90% (18) contained at least one additional high-potency opioid⁵:
 - 75% (15) contained fluorofentanyl (!)
 - 20% (4) contained a methylfentanyl-related drug (!)
 - 15% (3) contained a bromazolam (benzodiazepine-related) (!)

Unexpected noteworthy drugs found in other expected drug samples

- 6% (4) of the remaining drug samples³, meaning drug samples³ that weren't expected² to be fentanyl, **contained an unexpected noteworthy drug**, including:
 - 25% (1) of **expected² crack cocaine drug samples³** contained **phenacetin (!)**
 - As noted above, we are monitoring an emerging trend in Toronto's unregulated opioid supply. **"New" opioid cyclophosphamide is increasingly being found in fake pharmaceutical opioid samples, like hydromorphone (Dilaudid), oxycodone (OxyContin), and Percocet.** The strength and effects of cyclophosphamide are unknown. Depending on its strength and how much of it is used, the risk of overdose may be increased. We will keep the community informed as we learn more about cyclophosphamide and this emerging trend.
 - One **expected² hydromorphone (Dilaudid) drug sample³ that did not contain hydromorphone** contained cyclophosphamide (opioid-related) (!)
 - One **expected² oxycodone (OxyContin) drug sample³ that did not contain oxycodone** contained cyclophosphamide (opioid-related) (!)
 - One **expected² Percocet drug sample³ that did not contain acetaminophen or oxycodone** contained cyclophosphamide (opioid-related) (!)

Not sure what some of these substances are? View our drug dictionary: www.drugchecking.community/drug-dictionary/

Notes

1 | Samples: Includes both drugs and used drug equipment. Drugs could be a small amount of powder, crystals, rocks, blotter, or liquid, or a crushed bit of a pill. Used equipment could be a used cooker or filter, or leftover liquid from a syringe. For more information, view our [terms of service](#).

2 | Expected (drug): When a sample is submitted to be checked, the drug that sample was bought or got as is recorded. We call it the "expected drug". Knowing the expected drug helps us tailor our harm reduction advice. It also helps us understand contamination to drugs rather than combinations of drugs (e.g., fentanyl was found in a heroin sample rather than fentanyl and heroin were found together).

3 | Drug samples: Could be a small amount of powder, crystals, rocks, blotter, or liquid, or a crushed bit of a pill.

4 | Our key findings for the specified time period are based on results from both drugs and used drug equipment. **There are limitations associated with including results from used drug equipment samples in unregulated drug market monitoring for a specified time period.** Drug equipment – like cookers – are often re-used. The [mass spectrometry technologies we use](#) are so sensitive that very trace amounts of substances may be found. This means that when equipment is re-used, substances from past use may be found and included in results for the sample that is being checked. This can compromise the accuracy of drug market monitoring for a specified time period. For example, the substance was found in the used equipment sample but, if the equipment was re-used, is that substance circulating in the supply now or when the equipment was previously used. This is less of an issue for drug samples, which is why we prefer to rely on results from drug samples for time period-specific unregulated drug market monitoring. However, it is not always possible for a service user to submit a drug sample. We do the best we can with the samples we have access to.

5 | High-potency opioids: We classify an opioid “high-potency” if it is considered to be roughly as strong as or stronger than fentanyl.

6 | Average amount: We arrange the amounts of a substance found as a proportion of the total fentanyl drug sample from smallest to largest, determine the median (i.e., the middle number), and use that number as the “average”. For more information, view our [amount of drugs found graph](#).

7 | Range: Known as the interquartile range, represents the middle 50% of the amounts of a substance found as a proportion of the total fentanyl drug sample. For more information, view our [amount of drugs found graph](#).

8 | Reporting similar substances together: These substances have a very similar chemical structure, and it is not currently possible for Toronto’s Drug Checking Service to differentiate between them. For this reason, we report these substances together. For more information, view our [drug dictionary](#).

9 | Drug samples that unexpectedly contain noteworthy drugs and not the expected drug: Our reports highlight unexpected noteworthy drugs found in all checked drug samples. When noteworthy drugs are found unexpectedly in a drug sample and the expected drug is not present, we flag it but are hesitant to consider it contamination of the expected drug. Instead, we assume there is an issue with the expected drug: the person who sold or provided the drugs accidentally mixed up their drugs, the service user accidentally mixed up their drugs, or the expected drug was recorded incorrectly during sample collection. These samples require special consideration.

10 | High-potency opioid contamination: Based on the information we have about this sample, we are reporting it as contaminated with a high-potency opioid. However, it is very unusual that our program finds high-potency opioids unexpectedly in samples expected to be stimulants, psychedelics, and depressants, and these samples always require special consideration. There

is increasing consensus in the drug checking community that the unexpected presence of high-potency opioids in other drug types is the product of accidental cross contamination rather than intentional adulteration. Cross contamination may result from poorly cleaned scales, storing drugs together (e.g., storing LSD in a baggie that was originally used for storing cocaine), or using drug equipment with different batches of drugs.

(!) | Unexpected noteworthy drug: “Noteworthy drugs” are drugs that (i) are linked to overdose or other adverse effects, (ii) are highly potent or related to highly potent drugs, or (iii) may not be desired by some service users. Noteworthy drugs are flagged when they are unexpectedly found in checked samples.

About Toronto’s Drug Checking Service and Ontario’s Drug Checking Community: Ontario’s Drug Checking Community, for which Toronto’s Drug Checking Service is the flagship program, is a national leader in drug checking service delivery and community-led unregulated drug market monitoring and education. It involves implementing the offsite drug checking model designed and in use by Toronto’s Drug Checking Service since 2019 in other jurisdictions across the province. The primary reason for doing so is to inform evidence-based responses to the worsening toxic drug supply crisis by educating people who use drugs, community health workers, public health units, clinicians, first responders, policy makers, public servants, forensic science and toxicology laboratories, coroners, researchers, and others about what’s circulating in the unregulated drug supply and anticipated harms.

Collection site members in Toronto: Casey House | Fred Victor | Parkdale Queen West Community Health Centre (Parkdale site) | South Riverdale Community Health Centre (Moss Park site) | Street Health | The Neighbourhood Group (Kensington Market Overdose Prevention Site) | Toronto Shelter and Support Services (Harm Reduction Unit)

Analysis site members: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (Clinical Laboratory and Diagnostic Services) | St. Michael’s Hospital (Department of Laboratory Medicine and Drug Checking Unit)

Our program is coordinated by a small central team that operates from within the Drug Checking Unit at St. Michael’s Hospital. The central team is responsible for conducting unregulated drug market monitoring and developing and disseminating relevant drug information.

Our work would not be possible if people who use drugs did not donate their drugs to our program in an effort to reduce the harms associated with using unregulated substances and facilitate community-led drug market monitoring and education.

(website) www.drugchecking.community | (email) hello@drugchecking.community | (IG) [@drugchecking](https://www.instagram.com/drugchecking)